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Decision trees and regression trees are well-studied and widely used in practice (Breiman et al., 1984; Quinlan, 1986). The learning process of decision trees typically consists of two parts: a growing phase in which nodes are added to the tree based on a prediction gain, and a pruning phase in which the tree size is reduced in order to guard from over-fitting and provide good generalization. A variety of pruning methods have been proposed and analyzed (see for instance (Kearns and Mansour, 1996; Mansour, 1997)). Most pruning methods however are not directly related to the growing phases.

In this paper we define a generalization of a decision tree that we call a Self-pruning Prediction Tree (SPT). Each node of a SPT is associated with a real valued prediction. Given an input instance, the prediction of a SPT is formed by summing the individual prediction at the nodes traversed from the root node to a leaf by applying a sequence of branching predicates. The probability associated with a target is obtained by applying an exponential model over the aforementioned sum. (See below for a formal definition.) Alternatively, a SPT can be viewed as a piece-wise constant function from the input space into the reals.

We associate with each SPT a notion of complexity that amounts to the total function variation using the latter view. The hierarchical structure of a SPT enables efficient computation of its variation value which facilitates an efficient pruning procedure that is tightly coupled with the growing phase. Our construction is novel and the resulting self-pruning algorithm has not been proposed before. Nonetheless, this work builds upon and distills ideas from statistics (Breiman et al., 1984), information theory (Willems et al., 1995), and learning theory (Helmbold and Schapire, 1997).

A prediction tree (PT) is a generalization decision tree in which each node \( x \) has associated with it both a variable \( v_x \) used for branching as in a standard decision tree, and a real value \( \alpha_x \). Here we focus on binary predictions, however our definition can be easily extended for regression problems. For any node \( x \) in the prediction tree, let \( P_x \) be the nodes along the path from the root to \( x \), and let \( b(x) = \sum_{i \in P_x} \alpha_i \). For any node, the prediction tree defines a probability that an example reaching this node is positive. Specifically, for \( y \) the label of an example, and \( x \) an arbitrary node in the prediction tree, \( \Pr(y = +1|x) = 1/(1 + e^{-b(x)}) \) and \( \Pr(y = -1|x) = 1/(1 + e^{b(x)}) \).

For a prediction tree \( T \) we define the variation complexity \( V(T) \) as \( \sum_{x \in T} \| \alpha_{C(x)} \|_p \) where \( C(x) \) is the set of children of \( x \), and by convention \( \alpha = 0 \) for a null child. So for \( p = 1 \), \( V(T) = \sum_{x \in T} \sum_{x' \in C(x)} \alpha_{x'} = \sum_{x \in T} \alpha_x \), and for \( p = \infty \), \( V(T) = \sum_{x \in T} (\max_{x' \in C(x)} \alpha_{x'}) \). Let \( f_T \) be the function represented by prediction tree \( T \). Our goal is to construct a tree that minimizes the logistic loss \( \mathcal{L} \) with a \( \ell_p \) regularization applied to the complexity of \( T \). That is, we aim to minimize

\[
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ w_i \log \left( 1 + e^{y_i f_T(x_i)} \right) \right] + \lambda \| V(T) \|_p \]

where \( m \) is the size of the training set, \( y_i \in \{-1, +1\} \) is the label for example \( i \), and \( w_i \) is the weight associated with example
where we assume that \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i = 1 \).

As in the standard greedy tree building algorithm, we select the variable to place in the root, and then recursively apply the same procedure to all newly added nodes. However, the optimization used to select the variable to place in the root simultaneously determines the value \( \alpha_j \) for each the branches defined by the selected variable. For each branch for which \( \alpha_j \neq 0 \), this process is recursively applied. A key contribution of our algorithm is that the regularizer used in our objective function determines when to stop growing the tree. Furthermore, the regularization constant \( \lambda \) can be viewed as a control for the degree of “sparsity” for the prediction tree.

Consider a leaf node \( x \) that we are considering expanding. We select the variable \( v \) to replace leaf \( x \) by selecting the one which minimizes the loss. We now derive the loss obtained when \( x \) is replaced by the \( k \)-ary variable \( v \), which in turn will create children with real-values \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \). Let \( w_{i,j} = w_i \) when example \( i \) goes to branch \( j \) (which has associated value \( \alpha_j \)), and \( w_{i,j} = 0 \) otherwise. We compute the values of the \( \alpha \) by minimizing the logistic loss \( L \) with a \( \ell_p \) regularizer:

\[
L = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i,j} \log(1 + e^{-y_i(\alpha_j + b(x))}) + \lambda \| \alpha \|_p.
\]

Since we are focusing on the task of replacing node \( x \), we let \( b = b(x) \), and let \( \mu_j^+ = \sum_{w_{i,j} \geq 0} w_{i,j} \) and let \( \mu_j^- = \sum_{w_{i,j} < 0} w_{i,j} \). Thus \( L = \sum_{j=1}^{k} [\mu_j^+ \log(1 + e^{-\alpha_j + b}) + \mu_j^- \log(1 + e^{\alpha_j + b})] + \lambda \| \alpha \|_p \).

It can be shown that for dual \( Q \) where \( \gamma_j \) is the dual variable associated with \( \alpha_j \),

\[
-Q = \sum_j \mu_j H_2 \left( \frac{\mu_j^+ - \gamma_j}{\mu_j^- + \gamma_j} \right) + b \sum_j \gamma_j
\]

with the constraint that \( \| \gamma \|_q \leq \lambda \) where \( q \) is the dual norm of \( p \). Observe that when \( \gamma_j = 0 \), our optimization criteria becomes the standard weighted entropy-based information gain.

The key contribution of our work, in addition to the introduction of the the self-pruning prediction tree, is our nearly-linear time algorithm to efficiently compute the optimal \( \alpha \)s for each node in the prediction tree using either the \( \ell_1 \) regularizer \( (p = 1) \) or an \( \ell_\infty \) regularizer \( (p = \infty) \) in defining the tree complexity. At a high-level, our algorithm works by computing the optimal solution \( \gamma_1^*, \ldots, \gamma_k^* \) for the dual, and then maps back to the primal solution using \( \alpha_j = \log \left( \frac{\mu_j^+ - \gamma_j}{\mu_j^- + \gamma_j} \right) - b \). Furthermore, we believe that our techniques is quite general, and can be extended for regression problems.
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